

| | | |
|---|------------------------------|------------------|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
| | 10/073,301 | REITER ET AL. |
| | Examiner DiBrino Marianne | Art Unit 1644 |

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) DiBrino Marianne.

(3) _____.

(2) Moynihan, Martin.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 27 July 2005 & 19 July 2005

Time: __

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

63

Prior art documents discussed:

none

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Mr. Moynihan gave permission for an Examiner's amendment to claim 63 to delete "an" at line 1 and substitute it with "the" before "amino acid sequence", and to the changes to the specification detailed in the accompanying Examiner's Amendment.

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)